

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/627,140	PIOTROWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	R. James Balls	1625	

All Participants:

(1) R. James Balls.

(2) Eileen M. Ebel.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 November 2006

Time: 4:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 6


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called to fix Claim 6, which was improperly dependent upon a cancelled claim 5. Ms. Eble indicated that Claim 6 should be amended to depend on Claim 4.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)